

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary		Application No. 10/085,239	Applicant(s) WARD ET AL.
Examiner Leslie A. Royds Draper		Art Unit 1629	

All Participants:

(1) Leslie A. Royds Draper (Exr).

(2) Sean Coughlin (Attny for Applicant).

Date of Interview: 31 March 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: PM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
40, 43 and 47

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Authorization was given by Applicant's representative for the attached Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Leslie A. Royds Draper/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1629

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)